THREE DOLLARS A YEAR, IF PAID IN ADVANCE:

WOODVILLE, MISSISSIPPI, TUESDAY MORNING, JUNE 4, 1850.

Volume 27. - Number 23.

## Report from the Compromise Committee.

BY JOHN S. HOLT, Jr.

In the Senate on the 8th instant, Mr. Clay Committee of Thirteen, appointed some weeks on, to which was referred the several subjects connected with the institution of

The Senate's Committee of Thirteen, to whom were referred the various resolutions relating to California, to other portions of the territory recently accounted by the United States from the republic of ple who compose them, as to what may be Mexico, and to other subjects connected with the institution of slavery, have, according to order, had these resolutions and subjects under consideration, and beg leave to submit to the following report: The committe entered on the discharge of their duties with a deep sense of their great importance, and with earnest and anxious solicitude to arrive at such conclusions as might be satisfactory to the Senate and to the country. Most of the matters referred have been not only subjected to extensive and serious public discussion throughout the country, but to a debate in the Scuate itself, singular for its elaborateness and its duration: so that a full exposition of all these motives and views which, on the several subjects con ided to the committee, have determined the conclusions at which they have arrived, seems quite unnecessary. They will, therefore, restrict themselves to a few general observations, and to some reflections which grow out of these subjects.

Out of our recent territorial acquisitions, and in connexion with the institution of slavery, questions most grave have sprung, which, greatly dividing and agitating the people of the United States, have threatened to disturb the harmony, if not to endanger the safety of the Union. The committee believe it to be highly desirable and necessary speedily to adjust all those questions, in a spirit of concord, and in a manner to produce, if practicable, general satisfacion. They think it would be unwise to leave any of them open and unsettled, to fester in the ublic mind, and to prolong, if not aggravate he existing agitation. It has been their bject, therefore, in this report, to make such proposals and recommendations as would accomplish a general adjustment of all those

Among the subjects referred to the committee which command their first attention, are the resolutions offered to the Senate by the Senator from Tennessee, Mr. Beil. By

a provision in the resolution of Congress annexing Texas to the United States, it is declared that "new States of convenient size, not exceeding four in number, in addition to said State of Texas, and having sufficient population, may hereafter, by the consent of said State, be formed out of the territory thereof, which shall be entitled to admission tion of her territory, and an a certainment under the provisions of the federal constitution; and such States as may be formed out of 39 dag. 30 min. north latitude, commonly known as the Missouri commpromise line, out of Ca ifornia, we have every reason to shall be admitted into the Union with or without slavery, as the people of each State asking a lmission may desire."

The committee are unanimously of opin n, that whenever one or more States, rmed of the territory of Texas, not exceedg four, having sufficient population, with e consent of l'exas, may apply to be aditted into the Union, they are entitled to ch admission beyond all doubt, upon the solemn compact contained in the resition of annexation adopted by Congress, d assented to by Texas. But whilst the mmittee conceive that the right of admisn into the Union of any new States carvont of the territory of Texas, not exceedons stated, cannot be justly controverted, committee do not think that the forman of any such new States should now orig-

ne with Congress. Missouri, Arkansas, and Iowa have been all, proposing for each a Territorial government. nevertheless, admitted. And who would now think of opposing the admission of Min- ate the establishment of those Territoria. nesota Oregon, or other new States formed Governments; and, in order more certainly out of the ancient province of Louisiana, up- to secure that desirable object, they also rec on the ground of an alleged original defect of constitutional power. In grave national transactions, while yet in their earlier or inipient stages, differences may well exist: both be passed. at when once they have been decided by a sed, or are in a process of consummation, there can be no other safe and prudent alternative than to respect the decision already rendered, and to acquiesce in it. Entertain-

ommend, at this time, or prospectively, any new State or States to be formed out of the territory of Texas. Should any such State be hereafter formed, and present itself for admission into the Union, whether with or presented the following Report from the without the establishment of slavery, it cannot be doubted that Congress will, under a article of that treaty, the United States by cide for themselves the question of the alfull sense f honor, of good faith, and of all the high obligations arrising out of the compact with Texas, decide, just as it will decide under the influence of similar considerations, in regard to new States formed of or out of, New Mexico and Utah, with or with-

the constitutions and judgement of the peo-

best to promote their Imppiness.

In considering the question of the admis sion of California as a State into the Union, a majority of the committee conceive that any irregularity by which that State was organized without the previous authority of an act of Congress, ought to be overlooked, in consideration of the omission of Congress to establish any territorial government for the people of California and the subsequent necessity which they were under to create a government for themselves, best adapted to their own wants. There are viscous instances, prior to the case of California, of new States into the Union, without any previous authorization by Congress. The sole condition required by the Constitution of the United States in respect to the admission of a new State is, that its constitution shall be republican in form. California presents such a constitution; and there is no doubt of her having a greater population than that which, according to the practice of the government, has been here ofore deemed sufficient to receive a new State into the

In regard to the proposed boundaries of California the committee would have been glad if there existed more full and accurate geographical knowledge of the territory which those boundareis include. There is reason to believe that large as they are, they embrace no very disproportionate quantity of land adapted to cultivation. And it is known that they contain extensive ranges of mountains, deserts of sand, and much unproductive soil. It might have been, periaps, better to have assigned to California more limited front on the Pacific : but even f there had been reserved on the shore of hat ocean a portion of the bourdary which t presents for any other State or States, it is not very certain that an accessible interior of sufficient extent con d have been given to them to render an approach to the ocean through their own limits of any very great

A majority of the committee think that here are many and urgent concurring coniderations in favor of admitting California with the proposed boundaries, and of securng to her at this time the benefits of a State Fovernment. If hereafter, upon an increase of her population, a more thorough exploraof the relations which may arise between the people occupying its various parts, it believe, from past experience, that the question of its admission will be fairly considered and justly decided.

A majority of the committee, therefore, recommended to the Senate the passage of the bill reported by the Committee on Territories for the admission of California as a State into the Union. To prevent misconception, the committee recommend that the amendment reported by the same committee clear, unambiguous, and ab olute terms to the bill adopted, so as to leave incontestable the right of the United States to the public domain and other public property in California.

Whilst a majority of the committee believe it to be necessary and proper, under actual c.rcumstances, to admit California, they the number specified, and under the con- think it quite necessary and proper to establish governments for the residue of the territory derived from Mexico, and to bring it within the pale of the Federal authority The remoteness of that territory from the The initiative, in conformity with the seat of the Federal Government; the disage which has heretofore prevailed, should persed state of its population; the variety taken by a portion of the people of Tex- of races-pure and mixed-of which it conthemselves, desirous of constituting a new sists; the ignorance of some of the races of ate, with the consent of Texas. And in our laws, language and habits; and the sole formation of such new State, it will be emu stipulation of the treaty by which we the people composing it to decide for acquired dominion over them-impose upon emselves whether they will admit or will the United States the imperative obligation xclude slavery. And however they may of extending to them protection, and of procide that purely municipal question, Con- viding for them government and laws suitress is bound acquiesce, and to fulfil in ed to their condition. Congress will fail in good faith the stipulations of the compact the performance of a high duty if does not with Texas. The committee are aware give, or attempt to give, to them the benefit that it has been contended that the resolution such protection, government and laws tion of Congress annexing Texas was un- They are not now, and for a long time to constitutional. At a former epoch of our come, may not be, prepared for State Govcountry's history, there were those (and Mr. ernment. The territorial form, for the pres-Jefferson, under whose auspices the treaty ent, is best suited to their condition. A bill of Louisiana was concluded, was among has been reported to the Committee on Terthem) who believed that the States formed ritories dividing all the territory acquired out of Louisiana, could not be received into from Mexico, not comprehended within the the Union without an amendment of the con- limits of California into two territories, unstitution. But the States of Louisiana, der the names of New Mexico and Utah, and

The committee recommended to the Sen-

The combination of the two measures constitutional majority, and are consumma- the same bill is objected to on various ground-It is said that they are incograpus, and have no necessary connexion with each other. A The object of both measures is the establish ing these views, a majority of the commit-ment of government suited to the conditions tee do not think it necessary or proper to rec- respectively, of the proposed new state and

of new territories. of their boundaries, and alike in many par- harmony and fraternal sentiments should out the institution of slavery, according to ticulars of physical condition, they have be again revived, and that the government propriety in extending the parental care of by this distracting cause. government to both in common. If Caliornia, by a sudden and extraordinary augmentation of population, has advanced so rapidly as to mature her for State government, that farmishes no reason why the less fortunate territories of New Mexico and Unah should be abandoned and left augoverned by the United States, or should be disconnected with California, which, altho she has organized for herself a State governmont, must be legally and constitutionally regarded as a territory until she is actually admitted as a State in the Union.

It is further objected, that by combining the two measures in the same bill, members who may be willing to vote for one and unwilling to vote for other would be placed in an embarrassing condition. They would be constrained, it is urged, to take or to reject both. On the other hand, there are other members who would be willing to vote for both united, but would feel themselves con- to the true and legitimate western and northit stood alone. Each party finds in the bill which it favors something which commends tained in the bill herewith reported, and it to acceptance, and in the other something which it disapproves. The true ground, same as the therefore, of the objection to the Union of territories. the measures, is not any want of affinity between them, but because of the favor or disfavor with which they are respectively regarded. In this conflict of opinion, it seems a majority of the committee that a spirit measures should be connected together; the effect of which will be, that neither opinion will exclusively triumph, and that both may course of legislation is not at all unusual States. Few laws have ever passed in which there

others to other parts of the same instrument. of the de consoled by the reflection that what we do years. not exactly like as a friendly concession, an I us in a common destiny, it is desirable should always live with us in peace and concord. A majority of the committee have, therefore, been led to the recommendation to the

Senate that the two measures be united .-The bill for establishing the two territories, it will be observed, omits the Wilmot proviso, on the one hand, and, on the other, makes no provision for the introduction of slavery into any part of the new territories. That proviso has been the fruitful source of distraction and agitation. If it were adopted and applied to any territory, it would cease to have any obligatory force as soon as such territory were admitted, as a State into the Umon. There was never any occasion for it, to accomplish the professed object with which it was originally offered. This has been clearly demonstrated by the current of events. California, of all the recent territorial acquisitions from Mexico, was that in which, if any where within them, the introthe unanimsus vote of her convention, has expressly interdicted it. There is the highest degree of probability that Utah and New Mexico will, when they come to be admitted as States, follow the example. The proviso is, as to all these regions in common, a more abstraction. Why should it be any on ger insisted on? Totally destitute, as it is, of any practical import, it has, nevertheess, had the pernicious effect to excite serious, if not alarming, consequences. It is high time that the wounds which it has inlicted should be healed up and closed; and that to avoid, in all future time, the agita ions which must be produced by the conliet of opinion on the slavery question, ex-

Prior to their trans to refrain from all legislation on the subjecfer to the United States they both formed a in territory acquired, so long as it retain part of Mexico, where they stood in equal the territorial form of government-leaving relations to the government of that republit to the people of such territory, when lic. They were both ceded to the United they have a tained to a condition which en States by the same treaty. And in the same titles them to admission as a State, to deolemnly engaged to protect and govern lowance or prohibition of domestic slavery. both. Common in their origin, common in The committee believe that they express the their alienation from one foreign govern- anxious desire of an immense majority of the ment, to another, common in their wants of people of the United States, when they degood government, and conterminous in some clare that it is high time hat good feelings, nearly every thing in common in the rela- should be able once more to proceed in its tions in which they stand to the rest of this great operations to promote the happiness Union. There is then, a general fitness and and prosperity of the country, undisturbed

As for California-far from feeling her sensibility affected by her being associated with other kindred measures-she ought to rejoice and be highly gratified that, in enterng into the Union, she may have contributed to the tranquility and happiness of the great family of States, of which, it is to be hoped, she may one day be a distinguished

The committee beg leave next to report on the subject of the northern and western boundary of Texas. On that question a great diversity of opinion has prevailed. According to one view of it, the western limit of Texas was the Nueces; according to another it extended to the Rio Grande, and stretched from its mouth to its source. A majority of the committee having come to the conclusion of recommending an amicable adjustment of the boundary with Texus, abstain from expressing any opinion as proposed for such an adjustment are consame as that reported by the committee on stringent concuments. There is, especially,

According to these terms, it is proposed to Texas that her boundary be recognized ure and arrest of fugitives. Various States point commonly called Et Paso, and running thence up that river twenty miles, measured the committee believe, from a misconcepof mutual concession enjoins that the two thereon by a straight line, and thence cast- tion of their duty arising under the Constiwardly to a point where the hundredth de. tution of the United States. It is true that gree of west longitude crosses Red river; a decision of the Supreme Court of the Unibeing the southwest angle in the line desig. ted States has given countenance to their find in such an amicable arrangement enough nated between the United States and Mexi- in withholding their assistance. But the of good to reconcile them to the acceptance co, and the same angle in the line of the terriof the combined measure. And such a tory set apart for the Indians by the United tion of the Supreme Court has been misun

If this boundary be assented to by Texas. were not parts to which exception was ta- she will be quieted in that extent in her tiken. It is inexpedient, if not impracticable, the. And some may suppose that, in conto separate these parts, and embody them in sideration of this concession by the United distinct bills, so as to accommodate the di- States, she might, without any other equivversity of opinion which may exist. The alent, relinquish any claim she has beyond constitution of the United States contained the proposed boundary; that is, any claim in it a great variety of provisions, to some to any part of New Mexico. But, under convention which formed it by different great liberality, the bill proposes to Texas, members of that body; and when it was for her relinquishment of any such claim, a not discharge them eves from an obligation his freedom, if he can, affording to him for submitted to the ratification of the States, large pecuniary equivalent. As a consider- to enforce the constitution of the United that purpose all needful facilities. some of them objected to some parts, and ation for it, and considering that a portion States. All parts of the instrument being The committee indulge the hope that if tion in other parts of it. And in all cases of first instance to the extinction of that porconstitutions and laws, when either is pre- tion of her debt for the reimbursement of any thing exceptionable in it. And, as sum is to be paid by the United States in a an effectual remedy for the recovery of fuas this. we must be reconciled to secure as treasury of the United States, and the prin- the one hand securing to the owner the fair

According to an estimate which has been the application of that remedy. agreeable to those who, being united with made, there are included in the territory to In all cases of the arrest, within a State which it is proposed that Texas shall relin- of persons charged with offences; in all quish her claim, embracing that part of New cases of the pursuit of fugitives from justice Mexico lying east of the Rio Grande, a lit- from one State to another State, in all about 79,957,120 acres of land. From the between foreign powers, the proceeding uniproceeds of the sale of this land, the United formly is summary. It has never been States may ultimately be reimbursed a por- thought necessary to apply, in cases of that tion, if not the whole, of the amount of kind, the forms and ceremonies of a final triwhat is thus proposed to be advanced to al. And when that trial does take place, it

cline to accede to those liberal propositions; but if she should, it is to be distinctly under- of the constitution, whether the fugitive is stood that the title of the United States to any territory acquired from New Mexico, east of the Rio Grande, will remain unimpaired, and in the same condition as if the proposals of adjustment now offered had

never been made. A majority of the committee recommend to the Senate that the section containing these proposals to Texas shall be incorpoduction of slavery was most likely to take rated into the bill embracing the admission place; and the constitution of California by of California as a State, and the establish-Mexico and Texas has an intimate and necessary connection with the establishment of a territorial government for New Mexico. To form a territorial government for New Mexico to serious controversy, if not danliet of opinion on the slavery question, ex-isting as this institution does in some of the question of Texas. By the union of the three States, and prohibited as it is in others, the measures, every question of difficulty and true principle which ought to regulate the division which has arisen out of the territo- Namerous petitions have been presented

ether, they recommend to the Senate.

FOUR DOLLARS A YEAR, IF NOT PAID IN ADVANCE.

of the former than of the latter. But it is the than to establish it at such unremunerated duty of both. It is now well known and in- cost and heavy sacrifice. contestable that citizens in slaveholding But whilst the committee conceive that States encounter the greatest difficulty in a trial by jury, in a state where a fugitive obtaining the benefit of this provision of the from service or labor is recaptured, would constitution. The attempt to recapture a be a virtual denial of justice to the claimant fugitive is almost always a subject of great of such fugitive, and would be tantamount irritation and excitement, and often leads to to a positive refusel to execute, the provismost unpleasant, if not perilous collisions. Ion of the constitution, the same objections An owner of a slave, it is quite notorlous, do not apply to such a trial in the state cannot pursue his property, for the purpose from which he fled. In the slaveholding of its recovery, in some of the States, withstrained to vote against the California bill if ern boundary of that State. The terms out imminent personal hazard. This is a tire fairness and impartiality, in cases of all deplorable state of things, which ought to actions for freedom. The person claiming be remedied. The law of 1793 has been his freedom is allowed to sue in forma pauthey are, with inconsiderable variation, the found wholly ineffectual, and requires more peris: counsel is assigned him; time is ala deficiency in the number of public functionaries authorised to afford aid in the seizto the Rio Grande, and up that river to the have declined to afford aid and co-operation progress of the trial, to ensure the enjoyin the surrender of fugitives from labor, as derstood. They cannot but think that that court merely meant that laws of the several States which created obstacles in the way of the recovery of fugitives were not authorized by the constitution, and not that State laws affording facilities in the recove- pending in the Senate. According to these ry of fugitives were forbidden by that in

The non-slaveholding States, whatever

sented as a whole, the question to be deci- which the duties on foreign imports were dividual States, the duty of the General owners will have a just title to indemnity ded is, whether the good it contains is not of pledged as aforesaid; and the residue in Government is perfectly clear. The duty is, a greater amount, and does not neutralize such manner as she may direct. The said to amend the existing law, and to provide nothing human is perfect, for the sake of that stock, to be created, bearing five per cent. gitives from service or labor." In devising harmony so desirable in such a confederacy interest annually, payable half-yearly at the much as we can of what we wish and be cipal reimbursable at the end of fourteen restoration of his property, effectually to guard on the other, against any abuses in

> tle less than 124,933 square miles, and cases of extradition, provided for by treaties is in the state or country from which the It cannot be anticipated Texas will de- party has fled, and not in that in which he has found refuge. By the express language held to service or labor or not, is to be determined by the laws of the state from which he fled: and, consequently, it is most proper that the tribunals of that state should expound and administer its own laws. If complish it sooner or later, will be there have been instances of abuse in the erroneous arrest of fugitives from service or labor, the committee have not obtained knowledge of them. They believe that none such have occurred, and that such are not likely to occur. But, in order to guard ment of territorial governments for Utah against the possibility of their occurrence, and New Mexico. The definition and es the committee have prepared, and herewith tablishment of the boundary between New report, a section to be offered to the fugitive bill now pending before the Senate. cording to this section, the owner of a fugitive from service or labor is, when practicable, to carry with him to the state in which Mexico, without prescribing the limits of the person is found a record from a competent the territory, would leave the work imper- tribunal, adjudicating the facts of clopefeet and incomplete, and might expose New ment and slavery, with a description of the give. This record, properly attested and gerous collisions, with the State of Texas, certified under the official seal of the court, And most, if not all, the considerations being taken to the state where the person which units in favor of combining the bill owing labor or service is found, is to be held for the admission of California as a State competent and sufficient evidence of the facts which had been adjudicated; and will leave nothing more to be done than to iden-

tify the fugitive. action of Congress in forming territorial gov- rial acquisitions from Mexico will, it is praying for a trial by jury, in the case of

satisfactory adjustment. The committee, the non-slaveholding states. It has been al availing themselves of the ardnous and val- ready shown that this would be entirely uable labors of the committee on territories, contrasy to practice and uniform usage is report a bill, embracing those three meas- all similar cases. Under the name of a popires, the passage of which, uniting them to- ular and cherished institution-an institu tion, however, never applied in cases The committee will now proceed to the preliminary proceeding, and only in cases of ousideration of, and to report upon, the final trial-there would be a complete mocksubject of persons owing service or labor in ery of justice, so far as the owner of the fuone State escaping into another. The text gitive is concerned. If the trial by jury be of the consultation is quite clear: 'No per- admitted, it would draw after it its usual son held to labor or service in one State, un- consequences of continuance from time to der the lases thereof, escaping into another, time, to bring evidence from distant places; shall, in consequence of any law or regula- of second or new trials, in cases where the tion therein, be discharged from such service jury is haug, or the verdict is set aside; and or labor, but shall be delivered up on the of revisals of the verdict and conduct of the claim of the party to whom such service of juries by competent tribuna's. During the labor may be due. Nothing can be more progress of all these dilatory and expensive explicit than this language-nothing more proceedings, what security is there as to the manifest than the right to demand, and the custody and forthcoming of the fugitive up-obligation to deliver up to the claimant, any on their termination? And if, finally, the such fugitive. And the constitution ad-claimant should be successful, contrary to dresses itself alike to the States composing what happens in ordinary litigation between the Union, and to the General Government. free persons, he would have to bear all the If, indeed, there were any difference in the burdens and expense of the fingation, withduty to enforce this portion of the constitu- out indemnity, and would learn, by sad extion between the States and the Federal perience, that he had by far better have Government, it is more clear that it is that abandoned his right in the first instance,

> states, full justice is administered with enlowed him to collect his witnesses and to attend the sessions of the court; and his claimant is placed under bond and security, or is divested of the possession during the ment of these privileges; and if there be any leaning on the part of courts and juries, it is always on the side of the claimant for

In deference to the feelings and prejudices which prevait in the non-slaveholding States, the committee propose such a trial in the State from which the fugitive fled, in all ases where he declares to the officer giving the certificate for his return that he has a right to his freedom. Accordingly, the committee have prepared, and report herewith, two sections, which they recommend should be incorporated in the fugitive bill ations, the claimant is placed under bond, d required to return the fugitive to that of which serious objection was made in the the influence of a sentiment of justice and sympathies any of their citizens may feel for there to take him before a competent tribuounty in the State from which he fled, and persons who escape from other States, can- nal, and allow him to assert and establish

of Texas was created on a dependent upon and connected with each the fugitive bill, with the proposed amend-Had these various parts and provisions pledge to her creditors of the duties on for- other, ought to be fairly and justly enforced. ments, shall be passed by Congress, it will be nience and happiness to form a new State been separately acted on in the convention, or eign imports, transferred by the resolution If some States may seek to exhonerate effectual to secure the recovery of all fugiseparately submitted to the people of the of annexation to the United States, and themselves from one portion of the constitu-United States, it is by no means certain that now received and receivable in their treas- tion, other States may endeavor to evade remove all causes of complaint which have the constitution it est would ever have been ury, a majority of the committee recom- the performance of other portions of it; and hitherto been experienced on that irritating adopted or ratified. Those who did not mend the payment of the sum of - mil- thus the justrument, in some of its most im- subject. But if in its practical operation it like particular provisions found compensa- lions of dol'ars to Texas, to be applied in the portant provisions, might become inopera- shall be found insufficient, and if no adequate remedy can be devised for the restora-But, whatever may be the conduct of in- tion to their owners of fugitive slaves, those out of the Treasury of the United States.

It remains to report upon the resolutions in relation to slavery and the slave trade in the District of Columbia. Without discussing the power of Congress to abolish slavery within the Dist. trict, in regard to which a diversity of opinion exists, the committee are of opinion that it ought not to be abolished. It could not be done without indispensable conditions, which are not likely to be agreed to. It could not be done without exciting great apprehension and alarm in the slave States. If the power were exercised within the District, they would apprehend that, under some pretext or another, it might be hereafter attempted to be exercised within the slaveholding States. It is true that at present all such power is almost unanimously disavowed and disclaimed in the free States. But experience in public affairs has too often shown that where there is a desire to do a particular thing, the power to acfound or assumed.

Nor does the number of slaves within the District make the abeli ion of slavery an object of any such consequence as appears to be attached to it in some parts of the Union. Since the retrocessio t of Alexandria county to Virginia, on the south side of the Potomac, the District now consists only of Washington county, on the north side of the river; and the returns of the decennery States show a rapidly progressive decrease in the number of slaves in Washington county. According to the census of 1830, the number was 4,505; and in 1840 it was reduced to 3,320; showing a reduction in ten years of nearly one-third. If it should continue in the same ratio, the number, according to the census now about to be taken, will be only a little upwards of two thouse

But a majority of the committee ernments for each newly acquired domain is hoped, be adjusted, or placed in a train of arrest of fugitives from service or labor, in think differently in regard to the slave